

**CROPLAND AND HAYLAND**

**Regular FY 2004 EQIP Funds ONLY**

Check One Only	<b>Cropland and Hayland</b>	
	Forage Harvest Management or Conservation Crop Rotation practice standards will be met with installation of contract practices.	<b>40 pts</b>
	Forage Harvest Management or Conservation Crop Rotation practice standards will not be met with the installation of contract practices.	<b>10 pts</b>

Check One Only	<b>Tillage and Residue and Hayland (when out of hay rotation) (Does Not Apply to HEL Compliance)</b>	<b>Points</b>
	Planting methods minimize ground disturbance and greater than 30% of surface is covered with previous year(s) crop residue. Residue Management - No-till is used.	<b>10</b>
	Planting methods will leave 15-50% soil surface covered with previous year(s) crop residue. Residue Management – Seasonal or Residue Management – Ridge till is used.	<b>5</b>
	No residue management applied	<b>0</b>

Check if applicable to contract	<b>How are Crop Nutrient and Pest Management Requirements Handled</b>	<b>Points</b>
	Nutrients (animal waste and/or organic) are utilized in the contract area, an approved Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) is developed as part of contract. (Incentive Payment)	<b>18</b>
	Pest Management methods (for Invasive/Noxious weeds) are utilized in the contract area, an approved Pest Management Plan ( PMP) will be developed as part of contract. (Incentive Payment)	<b>20</b>
	Nutrients and Pesticides are applied , but no approved plans are being applied	<b>0</b>

<b>Irrigation Water Management</b>		<b>Points</b>
Check if applicable to contract	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation Water Management (Non-G&SW) (Incentive practice/\$2.00ac. rate) (IWM plan and monitoring as part of incentive payment, no metering required)	<b>2</b>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Sprinkler or Micro Irrigation retrofit	<b>3</b>
<b>Percent increase in irrigation system efficiency from Irrigation Water Management-Water Quantity Worksheet</b>  <b>Circle One Only</b>	41% increase in irrigation efficiency	<b>20</b>
	31-40% increase in irrigation efficiency	<b>16</b>
	21-30% increase in irrigation efficiency	<b>12</b>
	11-20% increase in irrigation efficiency	<b>8</b>
	5-10 % increase in irrigation efficiency	<b>4</b>
	< 5 % increase in irrigation efficiency	<b>2</b>

<b>Other Practice Application</b>		<b>Points</b>
Check if applicable to contract	<input type="checkbox"/> Streambank & Shoreline Protection <input type="checkbox"/> Riparian Forest Buffer <input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands Enhancement <input type="checkbox"/> Stream Habitat Improvement & Mgmt <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Watering Facility <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation Cover <input type="checkbox"/> Constructed Wetlands <input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands Restoration <input type="checkbox"/> Tree and Shrub Establishment <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Water Mgmt. for Wildlife <input type="checkbox"/> Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment <input type="checkbox"/> Early Succesional Habitat Devl/Mgmt <input type="checkbox"/> Prescribed Burning (see footnote in screening)	<b>5</b>

<b>Wildlife Habitat Management</b>			
<b>(Incentive Payment available to develop and implement RMS Wildlife Habitat plan)</b>			
Check Those that Apply	<input type="checkbox"/> Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	Species of Concern:	<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Wildlife Habitat Mgmt. Species of Concern:
RMS Wildlife Habitat Plan - Other (No sage grouse planning on pasture in FY 2004 is approved)			<b>10 pts</b>
Progressive Wildlife Habitat Plan			<b>5 pts</b>

<b>LOCAL WORK GROUP LAND USE PRIORITY</b>	<b>10</b>
<small>(Points will only be taken for the land use associated with primary resource concerns identified by local work group. For Example – group identified problems associated with irrigated land uses as their major primary concerns to be addressed through EQIP, then 10 points would be taken)</small>	

<b>TOTAL POINTS (Cropland/Hayland)</b>	
--	--

